

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/516,322 | 02/15/2005 | Martin Henrich | 04233 | 3868 | |
| 23338 DENNISON 5 | 7590 11/20/200 SCHULTZ & MACDOI | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 1727 KING STREET | | | | SYKES, ALTREV C | |
| SUITE 105 ALEXANDRI | A VA 22314 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| THAIR HOLL | , | 1794 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/20/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/516,322 | HENRICH ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| ALTREV C. SYKES | 1794 | |

| | ALTREV C. SYKES | 1794 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | te period for reply expires om: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Jaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 26(a) and the appropriat | o ovtonoion foo | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | F '45 07 OFD 44 07 | Filed Miles & ask | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) | nsideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Nation of Non Co. | mpliant Amandment / | DTOL 224) | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inpliant Amendment (| - TOL-324). | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmen | nt canceling the | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the pr | | I be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ided below of appended. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 30-46 and 48-58. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 13 Note the attached Information Displaceurs Statement) | DTO/CD/00) Danor No/o) | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Carol Chaney/ | | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner Art I Init 1794 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments that TFP works essentially in a two-dimensional manner and needling three-dimensional has been addressed in the last office action which was made final. (See pg. 6) Matthe